UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT	COURT	FILED
	for the		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Central	District of California		SEP 2 8 2018
	2 is a few of Carrier in a		3EP 4 0 2010
United States of America	)		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY
v.	) Case No.	SA 18-510M	5. VI
HECTOR MIGUEL HERNANDEZ CHAVEZ-NAV		571 10 51011	
Defendant	)		
ORDER OF DET	ENTION PENDIN	IG TRIAL	
Part I - E	ligibility for Detention	1	
Upon the			
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pu ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's of		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that deter and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 314	ntion is warranted. Thi 42(i), in addition to any	s order sets forth	the Court's findings of fact ade at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and	l Law as to Presumpti	ions under § 3142	2(e)
□ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 Upresumption that no condition or combination of and the community because the following condition of the community of the condition of the community of t	conditions will reasonations have been met: the following crimes desor 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or num term of imprisonment at term of imprisonment C. §§ 801-904), the Cor 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. en convicted of two or two or more State or lough (c) of this paragraphation of such offenses;	scribed in 18 U.S. an offense listed in 19 u.S. an of 10 years or montrolled Substance (46 U.S.C. §§ 70 more offenses designal offenses that we if a circumstance or	C. § 3142(f)(1): in 18 U.S.C. more is prescribed; or n; or ore is prescribed in the es Import and Export Act 501-70508); or scribed in subparagraphs would have been offenses
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession			efined in 18 U.S.C. 8 021).
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or	(iv) a failure to registe	r under 18 U.S.C.	§ 2250; and
(2) the defendant has previously been con			
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	that would have been	such an offense if	a circumstance giving rise
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2)			
committed while the defendant was on rel			-
(4) a period of not more than five years hat defendant from imprisonment, for the offer			
actondant from imprisonment, for the offe	onse deserroed in parag	rapii (2) above, W	menever is later.

□ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the safety of any other person and the community.
⊠By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
Significant family or other ties outside the United States

☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Douglast F. Michael Mick
United States Magistrate Judge